

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FII	LING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/629,298	0	7/29/2003	Sheldon Joseph Grywacheski	16448-US	6459
7590 06/18/2004				EXAMINER	
	Patent Department			MAMMEN, NATHAN SCOTT	
DEERE & COMPANY One John Deere Place				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
•	ine, IL 61265-8098				
				DATE MAIL ED: 06/19/200	4

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

.a	Applicati n N .	Applicant(s)
•	10/629,298	GRYWACHESKI ET AL.
Offic Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit
. 1	Nathan S Mammen	3671
The MAILING DATE of this communicati n	appears on the c ver sheet w	ith the correspondence address
Peri d for Reply		
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR RETHE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CF after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, and If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period for reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by significant or any reply received by the Office later than three months after the meanned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ON. R 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a in the statutory minimum of thire strong within the statutory minimum of thire strong will apply and will expire SIX (6) MON that the cause the application to become Alexandre.	reply be timely filed ty (30) days will be considered timely. NTHS from the mailing date of this communication. BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
Status		
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on _	·	
2a) ☐ This action is FINAL . 2b) ☑	This action is non-final.	
3) Since this application is in condition for allo	owance except for formal matt	ters, prosecution as to the merits is
closed in accordance with the practice und	er <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D	D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.
Disposition of Claims		
4) Claim(s) 1-20 is/are pending in the application	tion.	
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are with	drawn from consideration.	
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.		
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-20</u> is/are rejected.		
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.		
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction ar	nd/or election requirement.	
Application Papers		
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Exan	niner.	
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)	accepted or b) objected to	by the Examiner.
Applicant may not request that any objection to		
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the co	•	
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the	e Examiner. Note the attached	d Office Action or form PTO-152.
riority under 35 U.S.C. § 119		
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for fore	eign priority under 35 U.S.C. §	§ 119(a)-(d) or (f).
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:		
 Certified copies of the priority document 		
2. Certified copies of the priority docum		· ·
Copies of the certified copies of the p	oriority documents have been	received in this National Stage
application from the International But * See the attached detailed Office action for a	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	

Paper No(s)/Mail Date <u>07/29/03</u>.

1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)

2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)

Attachment(s)

4) Interview Summary (PTO-413)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date. _____.

5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)

6) Other: ____.

Application/Control Number: 10/629,298

Art Unit: 3671

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Objections

1. Claim 13 is objected to under 37 CFR 1.75(c), as being of improper dependent form for failing to further limit the subject matter of a previous claim. Applicant is required to cancel the claim(s), or amend the claim(s) to place the claim(s) in proper dependent form, or rewrite the claim(s) in independent form. Claim 13 recites "the crop processing element...sweeps a cylindrical path upon rotation of the rotor." This limitation is already recited in independent claim 9, from which claim 13 depends.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

2. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 3. Claims 1-20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by U.S. Patent No. 5,454,758 to Tophinke et al.

The Tophinke '758 patent teaches an agricultural harvester having a crop processing unit comprising a rotor and a housing. The rotor comprises a drum having a rearward cylindrical portion (17) and a forwardly extending frusto-conical portion (18). The frusto-conical portion comprises an aft-region adjacent to the rearward cylindrical portion and a fore-region. The rotor further comprises an infeed section having an infeed element (see Fig. 3, at the point labeled "19") for receiving the crop material. The infeed section is located at the fore-region. The rotor

Application/Control Number: 10/629,298

Art Unit: 3671

comprises a crop processing section (Fig. 2, generally indicated by "13") for processing harvested crop material received from the infeed section. The crop processing section has at least one crop processing elements (20) located on the aft-region of the frusto-conical portion of the drum (Fig. 2).

Regarding claims 2-8, 10-15, 17-20: The crop processing element sweeps a cylindrical path upon rotation. The crop processing element is a threshing element. The infeed element is a helical flight. The crop processing element is also located on the rearward cylindrical portion of the drum (Fig. 2).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 4. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 5. Claims 1-20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over U.S. Patent No. 6,296,566 to Tanis et al. in view of U.S. Patent No. 4,422,463 to West, cited by Applicant.

The Tanis '566 patent discloses an agricultural harvester having a crop processing unit comprising a rotor and a housing. The rotor comprises a drum having a rearward cylindrical portion (64) and a front frusto-conical portion (62). The frusto-conical portion includes an infeed section having at least one infeed element (32). The infeed element is a helical infeed flight. The rearward cylindrical portion (64) is a crop processing section and inherently includes crop processing/threshing elements. What the Tanis '566 patent does not disclose is that the frusto-conical portion includes crop processing elements. The West '463 patent teaches that it is

Application/Control Number: 10/629,298

Page 4

Art Unit: 3671

known in the art to provide the infeed of a crop processing unit with crop processing elements (70). When the elements are rotated about the rotor, the elements (or at least the lead element) sweep a generally cylindrical path. It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to provide the infeed section of the Tanis '566 patent with the crop processing elements as taught by the West '463 patent, in order to improve the

Conclusion

6. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's

feeding of the crop into the rotor (col. 2, line 10 – col. 3, line 2).

disclosure.

7. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the

examiner should be directed to Nathan Mammen whose telephone number is (703) 306-5959.

The examiner can normally be reached Monday through Thursday from 6:30 a.m. to 5:00 p.m.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Thomas B. Will, can be reached at (703) 308-3870. The fax number for this Group is (703) 872-9306.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the Group receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 305-1113.

Thomas B. Will
Supervisory Patent Examiner

ervisory Patent Exami

Group 3600

NSM 6/10/04